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## How Many J uveniles Come Into Contact With The J uvenile J ustice System?

The juvenile justice population can be defined as youth age 10 or older who come in contact with the juvenile justice system. The upper age limit for which youth are involved with the juvenile court system varies by jurisdiction. In general, most jurisdictions set the upper age limit at 17, although in some states it can be as young as 15 or 16. Additionally, there are a few states that allow juveniles as young as six or seven to be under juvenile system's jurisdiction. ${ }^{1}$

Estimating the exact number of juveniles involved with the juvenile justice system is difficult to track at the national level for a number of reasons. Juvenile justice is primarily the jurisdiction of state governments, and each state tracks the number of juveniles involved with the system differently. Additionally, the current systems used to track involvement with the juvenile justice system both underestimate and overestimate the number of youth involved with the system.

The main source for tracking the number of youth involved with the juvenile justice system. The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Juvenile Court Statistics. Juvenile Court Statistics reports on the number of cases disposed. Cases disposed refers to the number of cases for which a definite action was taken, such as a plan of treatment, probation or detention. A "case" represents a juvenile processed by a juvenile court on a new referral, regardless of the number of law violations contained in the referral." ${ }^{2}$ This means that a juvenile brought before the court on multiple violations is only counted once, but if the individual is brought before the court in two separate cases, they are counted twice. ${ }^{3}$

In 2014, there were about 73.5 million youth under the age of 18 and about 33.2 million were between ages 10-17. ${ }^{4}$ According to Juvenile Court Statistics, in 2013, there were $1,167,500$ cases referred to juvenile justice courts. ${ }^{5}$ Of these cases, a total of 387,100 were dismissed and did not result in court-ordered treatment or sanctions. As a result, it can be estimated that there were about 780,400 juveniles receiving juvenile justice services in 2013. This equates to about $2.3 \%$ of the U.S. population between the ages of 10 and 17 .

There is one additional caveat associated with the estimate of justice-involved youth. The estimate does not account for the number of youth who may be in contact with the juvenile justice system due to adjudication in a prior year. This means that the actual number of youth involved with the juvenile justice system is slightly higher than the Juvenile Court Statistics number of cases.

Number Of Juvenile Cases By State, $2013^{6}$

| State | Delinquency | Status | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 14,430 | 10,102 | 24,532 |
| Alaska | 3,372 | None | 3,372 |
| Arizona | 21,530 | 6,396 | 27,926 |
| Arkansas | 7,056 | 4,143 | 11,199 |
| California | Not available |  |  |
| Colorado | 8,808 |  | 8,808 |
| Connecticut | 11,686 | 2,454 | 14,140 |
| Delaware | 6,020 |  | 6,020 |
| District of Columbia | 2,393 | 274 | 2,667 |
| Florida | 73,394 | 354 | 73,748 |
| Georgia | 43,370 | 13,008 | 56,378 |
| Hawaii | 3,173 | 3,690 | 6,863 |
| Idaho | 14,436 |  | 14,436 |
| Illinois | Not available |  |  |
| Indiana | 21,930 | 5,762 | 27,692 |
| Iowa | 16,300 |  | 16,300 |
| Kansas | 9,680 |  | 9,680 |
| Kentucky | Not available |  |  |
| Louisiana | Not available |  |  |
| Maine | Not available |  |  |
| Maryland | 23,436 | 1,820 | 25,256 |
| Massachusetts | 7,670 | 5,108 | 12,778 |
| Michigan | Not available |  |  |
| Minnesota | 18,110 | 9,650 | 27,760 |
| Mississippi | 0 ? |  |  |
| Missouri | 21,517 | 14,803 | 36,320 |
| Montana | 5,066 | 1,584 | 6,650 |
| Nebraska | 5,639 | 1,756 | 7,395 |
| Nevada | Not available |  |  |
| New Hampshire | Not available |  |  |
| New Jersey | 24,770 | 9,662 | 34,432 |
| New Mexico | 13,193 | 2,390 | 15,583 |
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| State | Delinquency | Status | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York | Not available |  |  |
| North Carolina | 18,033 | 2,870 | 20,903 |
| North Dakota | $0 ?$ |  |  |
| Ohio | 65,968 | 16,062 | 82,030 |
| Oklahoma | 12,214 | 2,211 | 14,425 |
| Oregon | 14,129 | 8,458 | 22,587 |
| Pennsylvania | 23,857 | 442 | 24,299 |
| Rhode Island | 3,170 | 1,227 | 4,397 |
| South Carolina | 17,137 | 2,062 | 19,199 |
| South Dakota | 2,836 | 1,452 | 4,288 |
| Tennessee | 45,063 | 18,381 | 63,444 |
| Texas | 59,256 | 4,971 | 64,227 |
| Utah | 22,069 | 5,919 | 27,988 |
| Vermont | 700 | 95 | 795 |
| Virginia | Not available |  |  |
| Washington | 24,319 | 2,253 | 26,572 |
| West Virginia | 1,837 | 1,977 | 3,814 |
| Wisconsin | 10,282 | 4,586 | 14,868 |
| Wyoming | 766 | 173 | 939 |
| Total | 698,615 | 166,095 | 864,710 |

Note: State data in chart does not add to the total number of juvenile court cases because estimates for the states with unavailable data were made by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Office.

## What Crimes Are J uveniles Most Often Charged With?

Juvenile offenses are broken into two categories: delinquency offenses and status offenses. Delinquency offenses are acts that if committed by an adult would result in criminal prosecution. Status offenses are acts that if committed by an adult would not be considered crimes, such as truancy or purchasing of alcohol. The majority of juveniles that come into contact with the juvenile justice system are charged with delinquency crimes.

Of the 1,167,500 cases referred to juvenile justice courts, 1,058,500 (91.7\%) were for delinquency offenses and 109,000 (9.3\%) were for status offenses. The most typical delinquency offense was simple assault, representing $18 \%$ of all cases, while homicide was the least typical of all offenses, representing $0.1 \%$ of all cases. The top status offense was truancy, representing $51 \%$ of all status offenses. ${ }^{7}$

Top Five Delinquency Offense, 2013

| Offense | Number of Cases | Percent of Cases |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Simple assault | 186,400 | $17.6 \%$ |
| Larceny-theft | 183,400 | $17.3 \%$ |
| Drug law violations | 141,700 | $13.4 \%$ |
| Obstruction of justice | 132,000 | $12.5 \%$ |
| Disorderly conduct | 74,500 | $7.0 \%$ |

Status Offenses By Type, 2013

| Offense | Number of Cases | Percent of Cases |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Truancy | 55,590 | $51 \%$ |
| Liquor | 16,350 | $15 \%$ |
| Curfew | 9,810 | $9 \%$ |
| Ungovernability | 9,810 | $9 \%$ |
| Runaway | 8,720 | $8 \%$ |
| Miscellaneous | 8,720 | $8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## What Are The Characteristics Of J usticeInvolved Youth?

The characteristics of youth charged with delinquency versus status offenses varies; however across all justice-involved youth, the majority of offenders were male and white.

## Juveniles Charged With Delinquency Cases

The majority of the juvenile delinquency court cases in 2013 were committed by youth under the age of 16 ( $53 \%$ of all juvenile court cases). However, the delinquency case rates increase with the age youth - with the case rate for 17 year-olds at twice the rate of 14 year-olds:

- 2.3 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 10 year-olds
- 22.3 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 13 year-olds
- 37.8 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 14 year-olds
- 53.8 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 15 year-olds
- 68.6 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 16 year-olds
- 76.1 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 17 year-olds

Males are much more likely than females to be tried in juvenile court. In 2013, 73\% of juvenile cases were for males. Although, males overwhelming account for the majority of juvenile court cases, the number of juvenile cases involving females has continued to rise since 1985. In 1985, females accounted for $19 \%$ of juvenile cases and in 2013 , they accounted for $23 \%$ of cases.

The large majority of juvenile justice court cases were for white youth in 2013 - 62\% of cases involved white youth, $35 \%$ involved black youth, $2 \%$ involved American Indians, and 1\% involved Asians. Importantly however, the proportion of cases involving black youth was much higher than juveniles of other races in 2013: ${ }^{8}$

- 74.3 cases per 1,000 juveniles among black youth
- 27.4 cases per 1,000 juveniles among white youth
- 29.6 cases per 1,000 juveniles among American Indian youth
- 7.3 cases per 1,000 juveniles among Asian youth


## Juveniles Charged With Status Offenses

Like juveniles charged with delinquency cases, the older the youth, the higher the case rate. In 2013, the case rate among juveniles aged 17 was more than twice the rate among 14 year-olds:

- 0.3 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 10 year-olds
- 2.0 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 13 year-olds
- 3.7 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 14 year-olds
- 5.7 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 15 year-olds
- 7.6 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 16 year-olds
- 8.2 cases per 1,000 juveniles among 17 year-olds

Also like delinquency cases, the majority of cases involving status offenses were among males. However, the gender balance is much more equal with status offenses $-53 \%$ of petitioned status offenses involved males in 2013 and 47\% involved females.

Although the majority of status offense cases involved white juveniles, the highest case rates were among American Indians. In 2013, the case rate for American Indians was 1.7 times higher than the case rate for white youth, 1.2 times higher than the case rate for black youth, and 4.2 times higher than the cases rate for Asian youth.

## How Do J uveniles Move Through The J uvenile J ustice System?

Each jurisdiction (usually a state) operates its own juvenile justice system and therefore, the process to move through the juvenile justice system is slightly different in every state. The information here is meant to provide a general overview of how juveniles move through the juvenile justice system and should not be considered concrete in every situation.

After a juvenile is arrested, law enforcement makes the decision to refer the case to the juvenile justice system or to refer the youth to alternate programs - depending on the nature of the arrest and the juvenile's prior contact with the criminal justice system. Cases referred to by the juvenile justice system by law enforcement are processed through an intake department.

The intake department determines whether the case should be handled formally or informally, or dismissed due to lack of evidence. Most cases handled informally are status offenses because the prevailing opinion is that these youth are in need of social supports and services, rather than rehabilitation. Additionally, a case may be handled informally if the youth admits guilt. Usually in these cases, a consent decree is written up, which outlines conditions for the youth to meet such as attending counseling or agreeing to informal probation. If a juvenile violates the consent decree, the case will be handled formally. In 2013, $55 \%$ of cases referred to the juvenile court system were handled formally.

If the case is handled formally, a petition is filed with the court and at this point it is decided whether to try the case in the juvenile justice system or criminal court system. A judge may waive the case to the criminal court system if this is believed to be a more appropriate setting. In 2013, only $1 \%$ of all cases were waived to the criminal court system.

If handled in the juvenile justice system, an adjudicatory hearing, known as a trial in the criminal court system, is held. Most often the judge determines the juvenile's guilt, although in some cases the hearing includes a jury. Juveniles found guilty are referred to as adjudicated delinquents. In 2013, about $55 \%$ of juveniles who had a hearing were adjudicated. Finally, a disposition hearing is held, which determines the juvenile's sanctions or treatment for the offense committed.

## Juvenile Case Processing Overview For Delinquent Offenders, 2013 ${ }^{9}$



Juvenile Case Processing Overview For Status Offenders, 2013 ${ }^{10}$

| Total status | Adjudicated a status offender $48,200 \quad 44 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Placed } \\ & 3,800 \end{aligned}$ | 8\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Probation } \\ & 26,100 \end{aligned}$ | 54\% |
|  | Not adjudicated a status offender <br> $60,800 \quad 56 \%$ | Other sanction 18,300 | 38\% |
| 109,000 estimated petitioned status offense cases |  |  |  |
|  |  | Probation $7,000$ | 12\% |
|  |  | Other sanction $9,000$ | 15\% |
|  |  | Dismissed 44,800 | 74\% |

## What Are The Most Common Outcomes Of Cases In The J uvenile J ustice System?

There are two main types of dispositions that are imposed on juveniles: out-of-home placement and community-based treatment. Out-of-home placement includes both secure detention facilities, as well as other types of facilities, such as residential centers, group homes, and wilderness camps. Community-based treatment is a much broader category and the treatment options vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction depending on service availability. Common community-based treatment for justice-involved youth include cognitive behavioral therapy, multisystemic therapy, functional family therapy, aggression replacement therapy, and functional family parole.

There is one other important disposition that may be imposed on juveniles, which is probation. In some states, the probation system functions much like the adult system with limited services available. In these states, probation can be seen as a third main disposition option. In other states, probation is less traditional and more focused on providing community-based treatment options. In these cases, probation can be considered a community-based treatment option. It is important when looking at individual states to determine how the state is defining and what they are calling each types of service.

For juveniles with delinquency cases in 2013, $7.4 \%$ of cases resulted in out-of-home placement, $36.2 \%$ in probation, and $23.6 \%$ in other sanctions. Of the petitioned status offenses, $3.5 \%$ resulted in out-of-home placement, $30.4 \%$ in probation, and $25 \%$ in other sanctions. Other sanctions may refer to fines imposed on the juvenile, community-based treatment, or other available sanctions.

## Is The J uvenile J ustice Population Growing Or Declining?

The number of juvenile court cases has been steadily declining for both status offenses and delinquency offenses. The 780,400 total justice-involved youth in 2013 is a drop of about 18\% from 2011, when there were an estimated 924,100 justice-involved youth. ${ }^{11}$ Delinquency offense cases peaked in 1997, and declined 44\% between 1997 and 2013. Status offense cases peaked slightly later in 2002, and declined 46\% between 2002 and 2013.

There are two possible explanations for the decreasing juvenile justice population. The first is that these trends may reflect the tough on crime laws passed in the 1990s that resulted in the largest overhaul of the juvenile justice system to date. These laws removed many juveniles from under juvenile jurisdiction and automatically transferred them to adult courts. ${ }^{12}$ Although, states have passed some laws that return juveniles to the jurisdiction of the juvenile court system, no reform has been as comprehensive as what occurred in the 1990s.

The second explanation may be that fewer juveniles are being arrested, resulting in a smaller juvenile justice population. The Federal Bureau of Investigations, which tracks arrest rates for certain violent crimes, such as criminal homicide, rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny, and motor-vehicle theft. Juvenile arrest rates for violent crimes has decreased $64 \%$ since 1994 and has reached its lowest levels in 2013. ${ }^{13}$ Although, this data cannot necessarily be used as an indicator of arrest rates for less serious juvenile offenses, the trend does parallel the decrease in juvenile court cases. The decrease in juvenile court cases is likely a combination of these two trends, which run in tandem to one another.
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